

# LIVERFASt (L-FAST) identifies advanced (F3F4, AF) and clinically significant fibrosis (F2-F4, CSF) especially well with Fibroscan in MASLD patients (pts) from a tertiary hepatology center.

Victor deLédinghen<sup>1</sup>, Adèle Delamarre<sup>1</sup>, Julie Dupuy<sup>1</sup>, Paul Hermabessière<sup>1</sup>, Ronald Quiambao<sup>2</sup> Mona Munteanu<sup>2</sup> and Imtiaz Alam<sup>3,4</sup>

Hepatology Unit, Hôpital Haut Lévêque, Bordeaux University Hospital, Bordeaux, France. (2) Medical Affairs, Fibronostics US Inc., Indian Harbour Beach, FL, US;
 Texas Tech University Health Science Center, TX, USA; (4) Tallahassee Memorial Hospital, Tallahassee, FL, USA

BAC	KGRC	DUND

## • The identification of patients (pts.) with advanced fibrosis (AF, F3F4) and clinically significant fibrosis (CSF, F2-F4) is mandatory in the specialty settings as they require further assessment or specific surveillance or may benefit from targeted interventions.

LIVERFASt is an AI-based blood test that offers an overall assessment of the severity of presumed steatosis, activity, fibrosis (SAF) histological scores for MASLD. LIVERFASt Fibrosis test:

✓ Demonstrated long-term prognostic value in MAFLD patients for liver-related morbidity and mortality

Decraecker M. et al., Alim Pharmacol Ther 2022

Tangvoraphonkchai K. et al., J Hepatol Suppl. 2022

deLédinghen V., et al., Hepatology Suppl. 2020

Recently released clinical practice guidelines stated that the primary risk assessment could be done with FIB-4 and those with CSF risk should be reffered for secondary risk assessment with either a standard-of-care, liver stiffness measurement (LSM) with Fibroscan, or other noninvasive test.

To compare retrospectively the performance of onestep strategy with two noninvasive combinations, the standard-of care, *FIB-4 & LSM*, versus *LIVERFASt-Fibrosis & LSM*,

AIMS

for the identification of histological AF and CSF in MAFLD pts.

• As defined by Eslam M. et al. (J. Hepatol. 2020, MAFLD: metabolic-associated fatty liver disease

## METHODS

#### Patients:

- MAFLD pts prospectively collected data between 2003 and 2020 in a tertiary hepatology center (NCT01241227).
- Histopathology fibrosis scoring used NASH-CRN and SAF (*Bedossa P. Et al., Hepatology 2012*).

#### Cutoffs for AF (F3F4) and for CSF (F2-F4)

Cutoffs for FIB-4 and LSM were established according to AASLD CPG.

✓ Outperformed **ELF test** for CSF in a miscellaneous cohort

✓ Outperformed **FIB-4** in patients with type 2 diabetes

- FIB-4 cutoffs to rule out and to rule in AF were 1.3 and 2.68, respectively.
- LSM cutoffs for AF and CSF were 12kPa and 8 kPa, respectively.
- LIVERFASt for AF and CSF were 0.59 and 0.48, respectively

#### Statistics:

Strengh of concordance with biopsy for the combination blood biomarker and LSM. Scatterplots of three evaluators of fibrosis

#### Quality data selection

- Quality LSM were selected : IQR/LSM <30%, Success rate ≥60%, 10 valid LSM
- Quality biopsy: ≥20mm , nonfragmented (with the exception of F4 pts.)
- Time lapse between biopsy and blood biomarkers and LSM ≤6 months

## **Cohort Flow chart**

N= 753 patients pre-included with LIVERFASt<sup>™</sup> and liver biopsy From 2003 to 2020 in Liver Fibrosis Investigation Center, CHU Bordeaux,

- We extracted data (N= 583 subjects) from a tertiary center , data collected between 2003 and 2020
- Selected subjects aged 18 years or more without missing data for FIB-4, LiverSTAT and with applicable LSM
  - Among them, patients with data have been selected (≥20mm) and with lesser than 6 months time lapse between biopsy and noninvasive biomarkers and biopsy sizes ≥20mm.

LSM by Fibroscan (Echosens, Paris, France)	LIVERSTAT (Fibronostics, Florida, US)	FIB-4 Index	
<ul> <li>Quality criteria: IQR/median&lt;30%, Success rate≥60%, 10 valid LSM</li> <li>Variability in 531 NAFLD patients paired measurements: one stage difference in 32%, two stages difference in 10%</li> <li>Overestimation: Cytolysis with ALT &gt; 3x ULN, non fasting, MetS (T2DM, BMI&gt;30, high-blood pressure)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Al computer aided proprietary algorithm for assessing fibrosis, steatosis, activity in MASLD pts.</li> <li>Combines blood biomarkers (GGT, bilirubin, haptoglobin, apolipoprotein A1, alpha2 macroglobulin, ALT, AST, cholesterol, triglycerids, glucose) adjusted on anthropometrics (age, gender, BMI) to generate three quantitatives scores (fibrosis, steatosis and activity) and a conversion into a category</li> <li>Can be used in fasting or non-fasting patient</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Algorithm: platelet count, age, AST and ALT</li> <li>Dual cut-off for advanced fibrosis (&lt;1.3, ≥2.68)</li> <li>Over or underestimation: age range, cytosis, normal ALT and AST (T2DM)</li> <li>Lower diagnostic performance for cirrhosis in T2D</li> <li>FIB-4 = age(years)×AS(IU/L)/Platelet count (10<sup>9</sup>/L)×ALT(IU/L)<sup>1/2</sup></li> </ul>	
Castera L., et al., Hepatology 2010, Nascimbeni F. et al., , CGH 2014	Decraecker M. et al., APT 2022 De Lédinghen V. et al., Hepatology Suppl 2020	Sterling RK. et al., Hepatology 2006 Hagström H. et al., J Hepatol 2020	

## RESULTS

## According to liver biopsy, LIVERFASt identifies F3F4 and F2-F4 especially well with LSM by Fibroscan when both agree

8 KPa 12 KPa

#### **Excluded patients** N= 170 missing data

#### N= 583 patients included (301 T2DM pts.) N=564 with concomittant FIB-4, LIVERFASts and TE (277 T2DM pts.)

#### **Excluded patients**

#### N= 170

- more than 6 months between NITs and biopsy or
- Biopsy sample <20mm</li>
- N= 399 patients included
  less than 6 months between NITs and biopsy or
  Biopsy sample ≥20mm

## **Patients characteristics**

Characteristics	Prevalences, median (SE or range)			
	N=583 Overall included cohort	N=399 with high quality subgroup		
Male Gender	56.4%	57.4%		
Age, years	59.5 (18-85)	56.9% (18-85)		
BMI, Kg/m2	31.5 (20.1-54.0)	31.1 (20.3-49.5)		
ALT, IU/L	55 (0.5)	56 (3)		
AST, IU/L	59 (0.12)	43 (2)		
Type 2 Diabetes	301 (51.6%)	208 (52.1%)		
HbA1c,%	6.6 (0.14)	6.6 (0.13)		
Total cholesterol, mmol/l	5.14 (0.05)	5.18 (0.06)		
Triglycerids, mmol/l	1.58 (0.43)	1.88 (0.05)		
NITs				
LIVERFASt Fibrosis score	<b>0.48</b> (0.01)	<b>0.48</b> (0.91)		
LIVERFASt Steatosis score	<b>0.74</b> (0.01)	<b>0.75</b> (0.01)		
LIVERFASt Activity score	<b>0.41</b> (0.01)	<b>0.42</b> (0.01)		
<i>Fibroscan</i> LSM , kPa CAP , dB/m	<b>9.6</b> (0.5) <b>324</b> (2.6)	<b>9.4</b> (0.53) <b>325</b> (134-400)		
FIB-4	<b>1.55</b> (0.08)	1.55 (0.08)		
Liver Biopsy	Liver Biopsy	Liver Biopsy		
Biopsy length, mm Biopsy no.fragments	25 (11-95) 3 (1-25)	29.5 (11-95) 3 (1-25)		
NAS score (Kleiner) 0-2 3-4 5-8	8% (39) 33.3% (162) 58.7% (285)	6% (20) 32.9% (109) 61.1% (271)		



N=399 MASLD, with LB sample size ≥20mm and time lapse to biopsy < 6 months					5	
	LSM cutoff 12kPa			LSM cutoff 8kPa		
LIVERFASt & LSM agree	Number	Biopsy confirms both NITs	Biopsy disagrees with both NITs	LIVERFASt & Fibroscan agree	Biopsy confirms both NITs	Biopsy disagrees with both NITs
LIVERFASt & LSM agree for F3F4	74	70 (94.6%)	4 (5.4%)	117	94 (80.3%)	23 (19.7%)
LIVERFASt & LSM agree for F0-F2	194	147 (75.8%)	47 (24.2%)	116	103 (88.8%)	13 (11.2%

## Compared to LIVERFASt, FIB-4 identifies F3F4 patients to a lesser extent when together with LSM



330 MASLD, with LB sample size ≥20mm and time lapse to biopsy < 6 months				
		LSM cutoff 12kPa		
FIB-4 & Fibroscan agree	Number	Biopsy confirms both NITs, n(%)	Biopsy disagrees with both NITs, n(%)	
FIB-4 & LSM agree on F3F4	51	47/51 (92%)	4/51 (8%)	
FIB-4 & LSM agree on the absence of F3F4 (grey zone+ low risk zone)	139	118/139 (85%)	21 (15%)	

## LIVERFASt can palliate to FIB-4 false negatives

93/172 (54%) of patients with FIB-4 in the Gray zone (1.3-2.67) staged F3F4 at biopsy → 46/93 (49.5%) of F3F4 are identified as F3F4 with LIVERFASt

30/158 (20%) of patients with FIB-4 in the Low risk zone (<1.3) staged F3F4 at biopsies 6/30 (20%) F3F4 can be identified with LIVERFASt

#### LIVERFASt, identifies F2F3F4 patients especially well together with LSM

## Summary of the results

F3F4 staging	LIVERFASt & Fibroscan	FIB-4 & Fibroscan	
F3F4 correctly identified	<b>70</b> /74 (95%)	<b>47</b> /51 (92%)	
Missed F3F4	<b>47</b> /194 (24%)	<b>63</b> /254 (25%)	
NonF3F4 correctly identified	<b>147</b> /194 (76%)	<b>118</b> /254 (46.5%)	
Overestimated F3F4	<b>4</b> /74 (5%)	<b>4</b> /51 (8%)	
Unclassified	0	<b>73</b> /245 (29%)*	
DISCLOSURES	REFERENCES		
RQ, MM Fibronostics employment	Decraecker M. et al., APT 2022 De Lédinghen V. et al., Hepatology Suppl 2020 Tangvoraphonkchai K. et al., J Hepatol Suppl. 2022 Rinella M., et al., Hepatology 2023 Castera L., et al., Hepatology 2010, Nascimbeni F. et al., , CGH 2014		

Sterling RK. et al.,	, Hepatology 2006
Hagström H. et a	l., J Hepatol 2020



N=399 MASLD, with LB sample size ≥20mm and time lapse to biopsy < 6 months				
	LSM cutoff 8kPa			
LIVERFASt & LSM agree	Number	Biopsy confirms both NITs	Biopsy disagrees with both NITs	
LIVERFASt & LSM agree on F2F3F4	146	138/146 (94.5%)	8 (5.5%)	
LIVERFASt & LSM agree on F0F1	102	57/102 (55.9%)	45 (44.1%)	

#### CONCLUSIONS

- The combination LIVERFASt-Fibrosis & LSM (Fibroscan) identified advanced fibrosis and clinically significant fibrosis with the highest confirmatory rate with liver biopsy (94%)
- Using a lower than 12kPa LSM cutoff, a higher number of patients with advanced fibrosis were identified
- Acording to the LSM cutoff that is used, between 50% and 150% more patients were identified with advanced fibrosis (F3F4) than with the combination between FIB-4 & LSM.
- LIVERFASt can reveal 50% of FIB-4 grey zone missed cases and palliate the false negative rate of FIB-4

