

## Repeated noninvasive liver biopsy surrogate LIVERFASt<sup>TM</sup> correlates with BMI and liver enzymes improvements Marie DECRAECKER<sup>1</sup>, Jean-Baptiste HIRIART<sup>1</sup>, Marie IRLÈS-DEPE<sup>1</sup>, Faiza CHERMAK<sup>1</sup>, Juliette FOUCHER<sup>1</sup>, Victor de LÉDINGHEN<sup>1-2</sup>

(1) Hepatology Unit, Hôpital Haut Lévêque, Bordeaux University Hospital, Bordeaux, France (2) INSERM U1053, Bordeaux University, Bordeaux, France

## INTRODUCTION

- MAFLD-related morbi-mortality is increasing worldwide due to epidemics of obesity and type 2 diabetes (T2D). (2)
- LIVERFASt<sup>TM</sup> (Fibronostics, Florida, US) is a new point-of-care proprietary technology to assess quantitatively (normalized score from 0.00 to 1.00) liver fibrosis, steatosis and steatohepatitis in MAFLD patients. (1,3)
- LIVERFASt<sup>TM</sup> is a blood based serum biomarker that demonstrated prognostic value for liver-related events and overall mortality (1,4)

## RESULTS

### STUDY DESIGN

Ο 

**S** 

M

N=500 MAFLD patients PRE-INCLUDED LIVERFASt at baseline

N=401 MAFLD patients INCLUDED having at least one repeated LIVERFASt measurements during follow-up N=87 up to 7 repeated LIVERFASt measurements during follow-up

**RFASt**<sup>™</sup>



## CHARACTERISTICS OF INCLUDED PATIENTS

## N=401

Male ge **Median** Presum

Presum accordir stage (≥

LIVERFA Clinical (improv

Median Median (baselin

liver fibrosis To assess regression rate (LFR) USING repeated LIVERFASt<sup>™</sup> AND CORRELATIONS with **IMPROVEMENTS IN clinical** endpoints, body mass index BMI ≥ 10% and liver enzymes ALT  $\geq$  50% from baseline.

Patients with repe tertiary hepatology ( Clinical endpoints assessment during f

- BMI decre
- ALT decre

Significant fibrosis of stage translated

Fibrosis Progression Mantel hazard ratio

nder, %	44.3%
(range) Age	56 (21-77)
ed Fibrosis stages F0 F1 F2 F3 F4	45% 29 % 6% 12% 8%
<b>ed fibrosis regression</b> ng to LIVERFASt more than half 0.15) from baseline	13/401 (3.24%)
St median score (se)	0.27 (0.03)
<b>endpoints</b> ements from baseline) ALT ≥50% BMI ≥10%	109/401 (27.2%) 75/401 (18.7%)
follow-up	9.9 years
(range) follow up e to the last repeated LF-Fib)	3.57 years (3-9.9)

### REFERENCES CUMULATIVE SURVIVALS OF PRESUMED LIVER FIBROSIS with LIVERFAST 1. Decraecker M, Dutartre D, Hiriart JB, Irles-Depé M, Chermak F, Foucher J, de Lédinghen V. Longterm prognosis of patients with metabolic (dysfunction)-associated fatty liver disease by non-invasive methods. Aliment Pharmacol Ther. 2022 Mar;55(5):580-592. doi: 10.1111/apt.16760. Epub 2022 Jan 3. PMID: 34978351. 2. European Association for the Study of the Liver (EASL); European Association for the Study of Diabetes (EASD); European Association for the Study of Obesity (EASO). EASL-EASD-EASO Clinical Practice Guidelines for the management of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. J Hepatol. Jun;64(6):1388-402. 10.1016/j.jhep.2015.11.004. Epub 2016 Apr 7. PMID: 27062661. Survival Plot 3. European Association for the Study of the Liver. Electronic address: easloffice@easloffice.eu; Clinical Practice Guideline Panel; Chair:; EASL Governing Board representative:; Panel members:. EASL Clinical Practice Guidelines on MAFLD patients that non-invasive tests for evaluation of liver disease Logrank probability level = 0.02 achieved 10% or more in severity and prognosis - 2021 update. J Hepatol. Sep;75(3):659-689. BMI improvement from 2021 10.1016/j.jhep.2021.05.025. Epub 2021 Jun 21. baseline presented a Group with ≥50% ALT improvement PMID: 34166721. trend to improve liver fibrosis (half stage) but 4. https://www.. fibronosticscom/ Group without ≥50% ALT improvement without statistical significance HR (95%CI) 1.78 (0.38-8.39) vs 0.56 (0.12-2.64) in the group that not achieved a BMI **CONTACT INFORMATION** improvement of $\geq 10\%$ (logrank probability level =0.37). victor.deledinghen@chu-bordeaux.fr

# Half-stage liver fibrosis improvement as per LIVERFASt was more likely among those patients that achieved ALT regression of 50% or more from baseline: **Cox Mantel Hazard Ratios [HR(95%CI)]:** 3.47 (1.08-11.19) in the group with ALT regression lesser than 50% from baseline 0.29 (0.09-0.93) in the group with ALT regression 50% or more (logrank probability level 0.02) 80% Time lapse (years) between baseline and first repeated LF-Fib improvement of half-stage fibrosis

versus



METHODS		
eated <b>LIVERFASt™</b> prospectively included in a center.	• H	
s considered for significant improvements follow up:	p	
rease of more than 10% from baseline value and		
ease more than 50% from baseline value.		
stage improvement was considered with each half into  0.15 improvement in <b>LIVERFASt™</b> score.	in	
n Rate (FPR) used time dependent statistics cox s HR (95%CI).	• L e	
	n	



doi:

FIBRONOSTICS

## CONCLUSIONS

lalf-stage liver fibrosis regression as presumed with IVERFASt<sup>™</sup> fibrosis score was significantly more likely in patients achieving ALT enzymatic activity improvement 0% or more from baseline values.

trend was observed in patients that achieving BMI mprovement of 10% or more from baseline.

IVERFASt<sup>™</sup> Fibrosis score correlates with clinical endpoints and, therefore, can be used for long-term nonitoring of MAFLD patients.